

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/513,441	02/25/2000	Mark E. Boettcher	10001380-1	7276	
22077	7590 07/26/2004	_		EXAMINER BRINICH, STEPHEN M	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624	Y	
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/200	)4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Transpark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

7

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

<u>'</u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/513,441	BOETTCHER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen M Brinich	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  R 1.136(a). In no event, however, m.  a reply within the statutory minimum eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>14 May 2004</u> .				
2a.\ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b.\ □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-10,12-18,20-26 and 28-33</u>	is/are pending in the appli	cation.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration	ո.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-10,12-18,20-26 and 28-33</u>	is/are rejected.	<u>.</u>			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requiremer	nt.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	] accepted or b)☐ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the (	correction is required if the dra	awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the att	ached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreian priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	2. 2.g., p 2 , a				
	ments have been receive	d.			
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority doct</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority doct</li></ul>	ments have been receive	d in Application No.			
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of th	e priority documents have	been received in this National Stage			
application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copie	es not received.			
Ogo trio attabilot dotailot omos astori is.	·				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	/ <del></del> 5.	erview Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	/OD/00/	ner:			

Application/Control Number: 09/513,441 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

### DETAILED ACTION

### Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 14 May 2004. These drawings are acceptable.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 10, 12-18, & 20-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 10, line 4 and claim 18, line 5, the term "the stream" lacks proper antecedent basis.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4-7, 9-10, 12-15, 17-18, 20-23, 25-26, 28-31, & 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hines in view of Murphy.

Re claims 1 & 4-5, Hines's printing method discloses receiving a data stream from a content source external to the printer (Figure 3 item 118 & 120, wherein image data from application program is sent to printer system), gathering a first portion of data from the stream (Figure 3, item 312; column 9, line 40-53, wherein one or more data bands are

Application/Control Number: 09/513,441

Art Unit: 2624

gathered in the buffer), printing the first portion while continuing to receive the stream (Figure 3; item 310 & 110; column 9 line 61 - column 10, line 3; column 10, lines 15-19 wherein language monitor continues to receive data band while printing), and gathering a second portion of data from the stream (Figure 3; item 312 & 314; column 10, lines 16-17), where data received by language monitor is gathered in buffer similar to the step of gathering the first portion of data); and printing the second portion after printing the first portion (Figure 3, item 314, 316, & 110; column 10 lines 18-19, wherein data from buffer is continually retrieved for printing).

Further re claims 1, 4-5, & 28-29, Hines fails to disclose the determination of a block size of the first data portion, wherein the method of determination includes pinging the content source to calculate a data transfer speed and adjusting the block size based upon the data transfer speed, adjusting the block size comprising the steps of setting a first block size if data transfer speed is a first speed and a setting a second block size if data transfer speed is a second speed greater than the first speed.

However, the printing method of Murphy includes the steps of: determining the block size of first portion before print engine starts printing (column 15, lines 42-44, wherein the

Application/Control Number: 09/513,441

Art Unit: 2624

threshold value is the block size in buffer); pinging the content source to calculate data transfer speed (i.e. link speed) (column 15, lines 48-50 mentions the evaluating of data transfer rate and further in column 13, lines 26-45 wherein Murphy fully describes the operation of "pinging" i.e. the transmission of data from the content source and acknowledgment of receipt by the printer); and adjusting the block size based on the data transfer speed (column 11, lines 45-65, column 12, lines 37-55, and column 15, lines 42-50 describe the calculation of threshold buffer size based on data transfer speed such that higher data transfer speed results in larger threshold buffer size); setting a first block size if data transfer is one speed or a second block size if data transfer speed is another speed (column 15, lines 42-50 wherein setting the threshold value for the buffer size can be interpreted as the conditional setting of block sizes).

Hines and Murphy are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor i.e. parallel processing of print jobs.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to combine the adaptive data block size determination step with the band printing method of Hines. The motivation to do so would have been to: a) minimize communication between client processor and printer if many client processors are connected to the

Application/Control Number: 09/513,441 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

printer in a network; b) set the most efficient data block size to be sent for printing based on data transfer rate between printer and client processor so as to achieve the goal in (a) using a well-utilized method of pinging destination device; c) prevent printer buffer overflow when there is a backlog of print jobs in a network printer by setting smaller data blocks; d) maximizing use of printing resources by continuously and simultaneously buffering and printing data.

Re claim 2, Hines's printing step of gathering a second portion is started during the step of printing the first portion (Figure 3, item 312, 314, 316 & 110; column 10 line 15-21, wherein buffer gathers second portion of data received by spooler thread while write thread processes and sends data for printing).

Re claims 6-7, Hines further discloses storing the second portion of the file in a memory source prior to the step of printing (Figure 3 item 312, column 9 line 46-53 explains storing the first band in memory which thereafter stores subsequent bands) and retrieving the second portion from the memory source after the step of printing the first portion (column 9, line 58-61; column 10 line 15-19, wherein subsequent portions follow the step of retrieving and printing first data portion).

Application/Control Number: 09/513,441

Art Unit: 2624

Re claim 9, Hines's method further includes the gathering at least one additional portion of data from the stream and printing the at least one additional portion of data (column 10, line 15-27; Figure 3 item 312 & 314, wherein additional bands of data is continually gathered and printed).

Re claims 10, 12-13, 18, & 20-21, in addition to the elements described above re claims 1 & 4-5, Hines discloses the method of receiving a first portion of the file from a content source external to the printer (Figure 3, item 114, 120, 206, & 310; column 9 line 36-38, 46-53); printing the first portion (Figure 3 item 314 & 110, column 9, line 57-61); receiving a second portion of the file from the content source during the step of printing the first portion (Figure 3, item 206, 310; column 10, line 15-17); and printing the second portion after printing the first portion (Figure 3 item 314 110, column 10 line 17-21).

Further re claims 10, 12-13, 18, & 20-21, Hines fails to disclose the determination of a block size of the first data portion, wherein the method of determination includes pinging the content source to calculate a data transfer speed and adjusting the block size based upon the data transfer speed, adjusting the block size comprising the steps of setting a first block size if data transfer speed is a first speed and a setting

Application/Control Number: 09/513,441

Art Unit: 2624

a second block size if data transfer speed is a second speed greater than the first speed.

However, the printing method of Murphy includes the steps of: determining the block size of first portion before print engine starts printing (column 15, lines 42-44, wherein the threshold value is the block size in buffer); pinging the content source to calculate data transfer speed (i.e. link speed) (column 15, lines 48-50 mentions the evaluating of data transfer rate and further in column 13, lines 26-45 wherein Murphy fully describes the operation of "pinging" i.e. the transmission of data from the content source and acknowledgment of receipt by the printer); and adjusting the block size based on the data transfer speed (column 11, lines 45-65, column 12, lines 37-55, and column 15, lines 42-50 describe the calculation of threshold buffer size based on data transfer speed such that higher data transfer speed results in larger threshold buffer size); setting a first block size if data transfer is one speed or a second block size if data transfer speed is another speed (column 15, lines 42-50 wherein setting the threshold value for the buffer size can be interpreted as the conditional setting of block sizes).

Hines and Murphy are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor i.e. parallel processing of print jobs.

Application/Control Number: 09/513,441

Art Unit: 2624

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to combine the adaptive data block size determination step with the band printing method of Hines. The motivation to do so would have been to: a) minimize communication between client processor and printer if many client processors are connected to the printer in a network; b) set the most efficient data block size to be sent for printing based on data transfer rate between printer and client processor so as to achieve the goal in (a) using a well-utilized method of pinging destination device; c) prevent printer buffer overflow when there is a backlog of print jobs in a network printer by setting smaller data blocks; d) maximizing use of printing resources by continuously and simultaneously buffering and printing data.

Re claims 18, 22, 23, & 25, the printing system of Hines further discloses the print data (Figure 3, item 120) from the content source remote from client system (Figure 3, item 114, content source is application program in operating system) which partitions print data into bands or portions of data (Figure 3, item 206; column 9 line 36-46), wherein partitioning into data bands is done by spooler); transferring a first portion of the plurality of portions from the content source to the client system (Figure 3 item 310 & 312; column 9 line 42-44, wherein language monitor receives data band that spooler sends);

Application/Control Number: 09/513,441

Art Unit: 2624

printing the first portion (Figure 3 item 314,110, column 9 line 57-61); transferring a second portion from the content source (Figure 3, item 206 & 310; column 10 line 15-17); printing the second portion after printing the first portion (Figure 3 item 314 & 110; column 10 line 17-21).

This is similar to the method of claim 10 whereby portions of data is being sent to the printer or printing system. A band of data can be defined as a block of data transmitted as a variable unit over a dedicated connection medium according to column 9, line 44-46.

Re claims 14-15, & 22-23, as described above re claims 6-7, Hines further discloses storing the second portion of the file in a memory source prior to the step of printing (Figure 3 item 312, column 9 line 46-53 explains storing the first band in memory which thereafter stores subsequent bands) and retrieving the second portion from the memory source after the step of printing the first portion (column 9, line 58-61; column 10 line 15-19, wherein subsequent portions follow the step of retrieving and printing first data portion).

Re claims 17 & 25, as described above re claim 9, Hines's method further includes the gathering at least one additional portion of data from the stream and printing the at least one additional portion of data (column 10, line 15-27; Figure 3 item

Application/Control Number: 09/513,441

Art Unit: 2624

312 & 314, wherein additional bands of data is continually gathered and printed).

Re claims 26, 28-31, & 33, Hines discloses (Figure 3 item 114 & 118; column 18, lines 26-52) the use of a computer executing a stored program to implement the printing arrangement described above re claims 1 & 4-5.

Further re claims 26, 28-31, & 33, Hines fails to disclose the determination of a block size of the first data portion, wherein the method of determination includes pinging the content source to calculate a data transfer speed and adjusting the block size based upon the data transfer speed, adjusting the block size comprising the steps of setting a first block size if data transfer speed is a first speed and a setting a second block size if data transfer speed is a second speed greater than the first speed.

However, the printing method of Murphy includes the steps of: determining the block size of first portion before print engine starts printing (column 15, lines 42-44, wherein the threshold value is the block size in buffer); pinging the content source to calculate data transfer speed (i.e. link speed) (column 15, lines 48-50 mentions the evaluating of data transfer rate and further in column 13, lines 26-45 wherein Murphy fully describes the operation of "pinging" i.e. the

Application/Control Number: 09/513,441

Art Unit: 2624

transmission of data from the content source and acknowledgment of receipt by the printer); and adjusting the block size based on the data transfer speed (column 11, lines 45-65, column 12, lines 37-55, and column 15, lines 42-50 describe the calculation of threshold buffer size based on data transfer speed such that higher data transfer speed results in larger threshold buffer size); setting a first block size if data transfer is one speed or a second block size if data transfer speed is another speed (column 15, lines 42-50 wherein setting the threshold value for the buffer size can be interpreted as the conditional setting of block sizes).

Hines and Murphy are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor i.e. parallel processing of print jobs.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to combine the adaptive data block size determination step with the band printing method of Hines. The motivation to do so would have been to: a) minimize communication between client processor and printer if many client processors are connected to the printer in a network; b) set the most efficient data block size to be sent for printing based on data transfer rate between printer and client processor so as to achieve the goal in (a) using a well-utilized method of pinging destination device; c) prevent printer buffer overflow when there is a backlog of print

Application/Control Number: 09/513,441

Art Unit: 2624

jobs in a network printer by setting smaller data blocks; d)
maximizing use of printing resources by continuously and
simultaneously buffering and printing data.

5. Claims 8, 16, 24, & 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hines in view of Murphy as applied to claims 1, 10, 18, & 26 above, and further in view of Cavill et al.

Re claims 8, 16, 24, & 32, Hines describes the transfer of a first portion of the data file from a remote content source, but does not describe the step of downloading the first portion from a server via an Internet communications system.

However, Cavill describes the transfer of files between computers operating within the Internet (column 5, line 5-7).

Hines and Cavill are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor i.e. print job control.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Cavill with the teachings of Hines, as Cavill is an obvious extension of Hines's teachings that describes the downloading of data to a printer in the network (column 2 line 2-7). Cavill specifies Hines's network to be an Internet. The motivation for doing so would have been to utilize the largest wide area network available i.e. Internet, which allows access to the

Application/Control Number: 09/513,441

Art Unit: 2624

largest data resources. Since the Internet is the most utilized medium of data sources and file transfer among all establishments today, it would be obvious and logical to implement the system of Hines within an Internet environment.

Further re claim 32, Hines discloses (Figure 3 item 114 & 118; column 18, lines 26-52) the use of a computer executing a stored program to implement the described printing arrangement.

#### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 14 May 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Re claims 1, 10, 18, & 26 (and dependent claims 2, 4-9, 12-18, 20-27, & 28-33), Applicant argues (Paper #6: page 12, line 5 - page 13, line 20 & page 13, lines 29-34) that the art of record fails to teach or suggest the recited setting of block sizes of individual portions of data received by a printing system. In particular (Paper #6: page 12, line 24 - page 13, line 6), Applicant argues that the individual data packet sizes in the art of record do not vary from one another.

Applicant appears to be correct in asserting that the art of record (specifically, Murphy) does not teach or suggest variable data packet size. However, the recited "block size for a portion of data from the stream" does not appear to be limited in such a way that it must be read upon the data packets of

Application/Control Number: 09/513,441

Art Unit: 2624

Murphy rather than upon the data buffer size of Murphy. The latter is disclosed as variable in Murphy (column 11, lines 45-65, column 12, lines 37-55, and column 15, lines 42-50).

Re claims 5, 13, 21, & 29, Applicant argues (Paper #6: page 13, lines 21-27), that the art of record (specifically, Murphy) does not teach or suggest changing the size of data packets in accordance with changes in data transfer speed.

However, the buffer size of Murphy (column 11, lines 45-65) varies with the data transfer speed r.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated

Application/Control Number: 09/513,441

Art Unit: 2624

Page 15

from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 703-305-4390. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 7:00-4:30, alternate Fridays off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 703-306-0377.

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 703-308-7452.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 703-872-9306.

Stephen M Brinich Examiner Art Unit 2624

smb **/ M/**July 20, 2004

TIMES DE LEE
PRIMARY EXAMINE